

Legion-based Scientific Data Analytics on Heterogeneous Processors

Lina Yu, Hongfeng Yu

Department of Computer Science & Engineering

University of Nebraska Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska





Outline

- Motivation
- Contributions
- Framework
- Examples
- Experiments and Results
- Conclusion



Motivation



 A scientific analytics workflow consists of multiple operations that intrinsically incur different communication or data movement requirements between compute nodes



Motivation

- Legion : programming model + runtime system
 - Describe hierarchical organizations of both data and computation at an abstract level
- Legion assists a programmer in solving the common programming burdens
 - Discover/verify the correctness of parallel execution
 - Manage communication
- At a high level, mapping a Legion program needs making two kinds of decisions
 - For each task, select a processor on which to run the task by the mapping interface
 - For each logical region, a task needs to select a memory in which to create and use a physical instance of the logical region



Our Contribution

- Investigate the feasibility of using **Legion** to perform analytics for **large-scale** scientific data on **heterogeneous** processors
- Help users **simplify** programming on the **data partition**, **data organization**, and **data movement** for distributed-memory heterogeneous architectures
- Facilitate a simultaneous execution of multiple analytics operations on modern and future supercomputers
- Demonstrate the **scalability** and the **usability** of our approach using several representative analytics operations on a heterogeneous supercomputer



Mapper Interface

- We design a custom mapper based on Legion's mapper interface
 - Map operations onto target processors
 - Specify which memories are used to host the physical instances of the logical regions requested by such operations





Region Construction and Task Scheduling

- Main steps of the process of our approach
 - Make an operation opi processed on heterogeneous processors





Listing 1 REGISTERING TASKS IN THE MAIN FUNCTION

 2 HighLevelRuntime::set_top_level_task_id 3 (TOP_LEVEL_TASK_ID); 4 HighLevelRuntime::register_legion_task<top_level_task< li=""> </top_level_task<>	sk> ndex*/,
 3 (TOP_LEVEL_TASK_ID); 4 HighLevelRuntime::register_legion_task<top_level_task< li=""> </top_level_task<>	sk> ndex*/,
4 HighLevelRuntime::register_legion_task <top_level_tas< th=""><th>sk> ndex*/,</th></top_level_tas<>	sk> ndex*/,
	ndex*/,
5 (TOP_LEVEL_TASK_ID,	ndex*/,
6 Processor::LOC_PROC, true/*single*/, false/*in	
7 AUTO_GENERATE_ID, TaskConfigOptions(),	
"top_level");	
8 TaskHelper::register_gpu_variants <op_gi>();</op_gi>	
9 TaskHelper::register_cpu_variants <op_ci>();</op_ci>	
10 }	
11 //TaskHelper namespace	
12 namespace TaskHelper {	
13 template <typename t=""></typename>	
¹⁴ FutureMap dispatch_task(T &launcher, Context ctx,	
HighLevelRuntime *runtime){	
15 FutureMap fm = runtime->execute_index_space(ct	tx,
launcher);	
16 return fm;	
17 }	
18 //CPU implementation of the operation	
19 template <typename t=""></typename>	
void base_cpu_wrapper(const Task *task,	
21 const std::vector <physicalreg< th=""><th>gion></th></physicalreg<>	gion>
®ions,	
22 Context ctx, HighLevelRuntin	me
*runtime){	
23 T::cpu_base_impl(task, task->local_args, regions, c	ctx,
runtime);	
24 }	



Continued List 1

25	//GPU implementation of the operation
26	template <typename t=""></typename>
27	<pre>void base_gpu_wrapper(const Task *task,</pre>
28	const std::vector <physicalregion></physicalregion>
	®ions,
29	T::gpu_base_impl(task, task->local_args, regions, ctx,
	runtime);
30	}
31	//register tasks on CPUs
32	template <typename t=""></typename>
33	void register cpu variants(void){
34	HighLevelRuntime::register_legion_task <base_cpu_wrapper<t></base_cpu_wrapper<t>
	>(T::TASK_ID, Processor::LOC_PROC,
	false/*single*/, true/*index*/, CPU_LEAF_VARIANT,
	TaskConfigOptions(T::CPU_BASE_LEAF),
	T::TASK_NAME);
35	}
36	//register tasks on GPUs
37	template <typename t=""></typename>
38	void register_gpu_variants(void){
39	HighLevelRuntime::register_legion_task <base_gpu_wrapper<t></base_gpu_wrapper<t>
	>(T::TASK_ID, Processor::TOC_PROC,
	false/*single*/, true/*index*/, GPU_LEAF_VARIANT,
	TaskConfigOptions(T::GPU_BASE_LEAF),
	T::TASK_NAME);
40	}
41	};



Examples

- **Sort-last** parallel volume rendering with entropy analysis
 - Mapper interface





Examples

- **Sort-last** parallel volume rendering with entropy analysis
 - Region construction and task scheduling





Examples

- **Sort-first** parallel volume rendering with entropy analysis
 - Mapper interface
 - Ray casting task(GPUs)
 - Entropy task(CPUs)
 - Region construction and task scheduling
 - Divide the 2D image into uniform 2D grids
 - Each processor is responsible for the rendering of an image portion
 - No need to divide the 3D volume data
 - No need image compositing
- The sort-first and sort-last algorithms have **differences on data partitioning and distribution requirements**, but our solution provides a **simple** and **feasible** way to incorporate different operations in a unified framework using logical regions



- Conduct experiments on Titan, a Cray XK7 supercomputer located at the Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility
 - Each node of Titan contains one 16-core AMD Opteron CPU and a NVIDIA Tesla K20 GPU
- Test sort-first and sort-last parallel rendering
- Conduct scalability comparisons using a combustion dataset with the resolution of 1600x1375x430
- Test between 1 to 256 processors with two output image resolutions of 1024² and 2048²



• The overview time breakdown, **data partition time**, **rendering time**, and **data movement time** on a different total number of nodes for sort-first rendering and sort-last rendering



Fig. 1: (a): the time breakdown of sort-first parallel volume rendering for different number of nodes. (b): the data partition time. (c): the rendering time. (d): the data movement time. Two output image resolutions, 1024^2 and 2048^2 , are used.



Fig. 2: (a): the time breakdown of sort-last parallel volume rendering for different number of nodes. (b): the data partition time. (c): the rendering time. (d): the image compositing time. Two output image resolutions, 1024² and 2048², are used.



• Interactive rendering time and data movement time of sort-first parallel rendering for 64 nodes with image resolution of 1024²



Fig. 3: The rendering time and data movement time of sort-first rendering for 64 nodes from multiple view angles. The output image resolution is 1024^2 .



 The rendering time results of sort-first and sort-last parallel rendering on any number of nodes from 1 to 256 with image resolution of 1024²



Fig. 4: The time results of sort-first (a) and sort-last (b) parallel rendering on any number of nodes from 1 to 256. The output image resolution is 1024^2 .



- Legion job stealing scheduling performance
 - CPU ray casting time is 1.347 seconds(5%)
 - CPU entropy time is 0.936 second
 - GPU ray casting time is 2.833 seconds (95%)
- Given that each node has a 16-core CPU, we tested different ratios between ray casting and entropy operations



Fig. 5: The time results of ray casting and entropy analysis with various ratios on allocation. The output image resolution is 1024².



Conclusion

- A study for conducting scientific data analytics on distributed heterogeneous architectures by leveraging the Legion programming model and runtime system
- Consider both **scalability** and **usability** in our design
- Facilitate complex analytics operations with completely different data partitioning and distribution requirements in a nearly unified manner
- Perform operations across **CPUs** and **GPUs** and **balance** workload by automatic or manual scheduling strategies



Acknowledgement

- This research has been sponsored in part by the Department of Energy through the ExaCT Center for Exascale Simulation of Combustion in Turbulence the National Science Foundation through grant IIS-1423487.
- The allocation of supercomputing time on the Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility (OLCF) has been sponsored by the Department of Energy through the Innovative and Novel Computational Impact on Theory and Experiment (INCITE) program



Thank You!